

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,764	11/12/2003	Mario Balzarini	Z01-143	7799
7590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER		
R. Neil Sudol			MAGUIRE, LINDSAY MONICA	
714 Colorado A	venue			
Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

He -						
700	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/706,764	BALZARINI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lindsay M. Maguire	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO CET TO EVENE AM	ONTHIO) OD THIDTY (OO) DAVO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 f	November 2003.					
· <u> </u>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
of Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
ine oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		anliantian No				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>		·				
application from the International Burea	•	received in the National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Pager No(s)/Mail Date 9/13/04</li> </ol>	,—	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050929

Art Unit: 3634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the application and preliminary application filed on November 12, 2003. It is noted that Claims 1 and 5 were amended.

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. It is suggested that applicant remove 'or the like' from the title.

The specification is objected to because of the following informalities: missing letters in words, examples are: page 1, line 1 'Fi ld,' line 30 'lies n,' etc. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the extension" in Line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant is suggested to replace the word 'the' with 'an'.

Claim 2 recites the limitations "the ends" and "the free edge" and "engage" in

Line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant is suggested to remove 'the' from 'the ends', replace 'the' with 'a' in 'the free edge', and change 'engage' to 'for engaging.'

Claim 4 recites the limitations "the ends" and "the free edge" in Line 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant is suggested to remove 'the' from both.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 through 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blom U.S. Patent No. 2,684,766.

Blom discloses a stackable container, paper holder or the like, comprising a base (14) that lies on a substantially horizontal plane (Figure 1), a back wall (13) that lies on a substantially vertical plane, and side members (12, 16), said side members comprising, on each lateral edge of said base, at least one plate that lies substantially at right angles to said edge of the base on a plane that is angled about a vertical axis with respect to the extension of said edge, said edge being provided with a recess that is adjacent to each one of said plates and accommodates one end of a corresponding plate that belongs to an adjacent container that is stacked together with said container, in a storage or shipping condition having a means for mutually engaging two adjacent containers (Figure 4), said means engaging said adjacent containers in a use condition of said container (21) (The device Blom is fully capable of performing the function as set forth in Claim 1, see Figures 1, 2, and 4). As to Claim 2, (Figure 2), additionally it is noted that the "Wherein" clause (i.e. "wherein side engagement means comprises two protrusions that are formed at the ends of the free edge of said back wall and engage corresponding notches formed in the lateral edge of a similar try that is stacked above") is no different than Blom which includes such. In regards to Claim 3, the "Wherein" clause (i.e. "where in said engagement means comprises two protrusions that are formed at the ends of the free edge of said back wall and engage corresponding notches formed in the lateral edge of a similar tray that is stacked below.") is no different than what is clearly shown in Blom (Figure 2) to includes the aforementioned features (17). As to Claim 4, (see Figure 3), showing rear protrusions formed at the ends of the free edge of the back wall and engaging corresponding rear holed formed on the lower

Art Unit: 3634

surface of the base of an overlying tray. As to Claim 5, (see Figure 3), showing an engagement means comprising front holes, accommodating a front protrusion of each plate of a similar tray that is stacked below.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Lockwood U.S. Pat. No. 2,940,602, the Grosse U.S. Pat. No. 3,750,892, the Goodrich U.S. Pat. No. 4,441,615, and the Wenkman U.S. Pat. No. 5456,366, are cited for illustrating a stackable container paper holders.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lindsay M. Maguire whose telephone number is 571-272-6039. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571)272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,764

Art Unit: 3634

Page 6

Jennifer E. Novosa Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

LMM

9/30/05